

EXISTENCE AND SMOOTHNESS OF SOLUTIONS TO THE 3D DRIVING-FORCE FREE NAVIER-STOKES EQUATION

VERSION 005

DWIGHT M. WALSH

ABSTRACT. Existence of a solution to the driving-force free Navier-Stokes equation with a given initial fluid velocity profile is proven assuming a scalar pressure function and incompressible flow. It is assumed that the fluid is flowing in free space under the forces of viscosity and scalar pressure gradients only, and that there are no external driving forces. Also, it is assumed that the absolute value of the initial velocity profile and all of its spatial derivatives approach zero as $1/(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$, where κ is a constant such that $3/2 < \kappa \leq 2$, and a is a positive constant. First, we show that for any velocity profile with this spatial characteristic, there exists a scalar pressure gradient with an absolute value that also approaches zero as $1/(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. We then show that any fluid velocity solution would retain this spatial profile characteristic when propagated in time over a finite interval $0 \leq t \leq T$. Next, we show that such a solution is bounded over all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t > 0$, thereby establishing existence and smoothness. The key step to proving this is to show that the time integral of the scalar pressure gradient ∇p , which is basically the total impulse per unit volume due the pressure gradient, is continuous and bounded at all times $t > 0$, regardless of any irregularities that may arise in the solution \mathbf{u} or its spatial derivatives. This is because it is the Poisson integral that is used to obtain p and ∇p , and the integration process tends to “smooth over” any irregularities in the $\partial u_i / \partial x_j$. Then, since the time integral of ∇p acting on a fluid element at the spatial maximum of $K = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} / 2$ can only be finite, the growth of the global maximum of K during the integration time can also only be finite. Therefore a “smooth blowup” of the solution $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ cannot occur. In fact, $u(\mathbf{x}, t) \rightarrow 0$ over all of $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Finally, we show that the solution $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is unique.

INTRODUCTION

The Navier-Stokes equation is one of several equations which governs fluid motion. Essentially, it is a statement of Newton’s Second Law ($\mathbf{F} = m\mathbf{a}$) applied to the infinitesimal fluid elements, taking into account the pressure gradients and forces due to viscosity. Proving existence and uniqueness of solutions to this equation with various initial conditions and driving forces has been of great interest to the mathematics community (Ref. 1, 2). In this paper, we prove existence and smoothness of a solution to the zero driving-force Navier-Stokes equation for incompressible fluid flow, given a smooth initial fluid velocity profile.

The approach is to first establish existence and spatial dependence of the scalar pressure function at a particular time, given the fluid velocity as a function of the spatial position \mathbf{x} at that time. As we will see later, this scalar pressure p not only exists but is also spatially continuous, even if smoothness of the solution

$u(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and its spatial derivatives breaks down. This is because p is a solution to the Poisson equation where the inhomogeneous term $Q(\mathbf{x}, t)$ specifically depends on the $\partial u_i / \partial x_j$ derivatives, and the solution is obtained from the Poisson integral of this Q function. As with integrations in general, this integral tends to “smooth over” erratic behavior of the integrand, and therefore p and ∇p are not sensitive to discontinuities, spiking on sets of zero volume, or other forms of spatial “roughness” of the fluid velocity components u_i or their spatial derivatives.

We then define a finite difference approximation of the solution that uses the maximum fluid velocity profile along with the determined scalar pressure gradients at each time step to determine the maximum fluid velocity profile at the next time step. This establishes that if a solution does exist, then it will comply with the boundary conditions “at infinity” and will be of finite energy.

Next, we establish a connection between the first spatial derivatives $\partial u_i / \partial x_j$ and the time integral of ∇p . Here, it is shown that this time integral is finite for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t > 0$. Therefore, the change in momentum per unit volume from ∇p acting on a fluid element is also finite. If this fluid element is at the global maximum point of K (or equivalently $|\mathbf{u}|$), then ∇p is the only force acting on it that could result in a positive acceleration, or increase in K . But since this change in momentum is finite, so is the final value of K at any time $t > 0$. This establishes that a smooth, finite solution $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ exists for the given problem. Finally, we show that this solution is unique.

It should be noted that this paper does not follow the general approach used by many authors of constructing *weak solutions*, and then showing that these solutions must be smooth (Ref. 1, 3-6). These methods are generally extremely difficult to follow unless the reader is highly proficient in functional analysis. Also, the authors’ “recasting” of the problem is oftentimes unclear. In this paper, a reader with an undergraduate background in calculus, differential equations (ordinary and partial), and vector analysis should be able to follow the arguments.

PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

Written in vector form, the Navier-Stokes equation is given by

$$\rho \left[\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} \right] = \sigma \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} - \nabla P + \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{u} is the fluid velocity, ρ is the fluid density, P is pressure, σ is the viscosity coefficient, and \mathbf{F} is the external force per unit volume acting on the fluid elements. In addition to satisfying equation (1), a solution \mathbf{u} must also satisfy the equation of continuity, or mass balance, which is given by

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0 \quad (2)$$

This equation states that whatever net fluid mass (per unit time) flows into a fluid element must appear as increased mass of the element, or equivalently, the mass density at that point in the fluid space.

In the problem we are considering, we assume an incompressible fluid, and therefore the density is constant. In this case, we can write equation (1) as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} - \nabla p + \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (3)$$

where $\nu = \sigma / \rho$ is the normalized viscosity coefficient, $p = (P - P_A) / \rho$ is the normalized pressure, P_A is the ambient pressure (ie. the pressure at infinity), and

$\mathbf{f} = \mathbf{F}/\rho$ is the force per unit mass acting on the fluid elements. Also we assume that all external forces acting on the fluid are zero for $t > 0$. That is, we assume that external forces may have acted on the fluid at times $t < 0$, thereby giving rise to an initial fluid velocity profile $\mathbf{u}^0(\mathbf{x})$ at $t = 0$ which we will assume is known. Therefore, equation (3) becomes

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} = \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \nabla p \quad (4a)$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = \nu \nabla^2 u_i - \sum_{k=1}^3 u_k \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} \quad (4b)$$

for our current problem. The initial condition on \mathbf{u} is given by

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{u}^0(\mathbf{x}) \text{ or } u_i(\mathbf{x}, 0) = u_i^0(\mathbf{x}), \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{u}^0(\mathbf{x})$ is a specified vector function of the spatial coordinates. Furthermore, we will assume that $\mathbf{u}^0(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{C}^\infty$ (ie. has continuous partial derivatives to all orders with respect to each spatial variable). For a smooth, physically acceptable solution, we must also assume there exist constants a and C_m such that

$$|\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^m u_i^0(\mathbf{x})| \leq \max \left| \frac{\partial^{m=m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i^0}{\partial x^{m_1} \partial x^{m_2} \partial x^{m_3}} \right| \leq \frac{a^2 C_m}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa} \quad (6)$$

where $m = m_1 + m_2 + m_3$, $\partial_{\mathbf{x}}^m$ denotes any m^{th} order spatial derivative, and κ can be any constant such that $3/2 < \kappa \leq 2$. These conditions ensure that the initial total energy of fluid motion given by

$$E_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}^0(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} \quad (7)$$

is finite and that the scalar pressure function p exists. Also, as will be shown later, the pressure gradient $|\nabla p|$ approaches zero as $a^2/(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^2$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$ for any such value of κ between $3/2$ and 2 . Therefore, the fluid velocity components u_i need not approach zero as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$ any faster than $a^2/(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^2$, even if the chosen initial conditions are consistent with values of $\kappa > 2$. To show the initial energy of fluid motion is finite, we insert inequality (6) into (7) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{u}^0(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} \leq \frac{1}{2} a^{2\kappa} C_0^2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{d^3 \mathbf{x}}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^{2\kappa}} \\ &= 2\pi a^{2\kappa} C_0^2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{(r + a)^{2\kappa}} dr = 6\pi a^{2\kappa} C_0^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{r^2}{(r + a)^{2\kappa}} dr \\ &= 6\pi a^3 C_0^2 \left(\frac{1}{2\kappa - 3} - \frac{1}{\kappa - 1} + \frac{1}{2\kappa - 1} \right) = \frac{6\pi a^3 C_0^2}{(2\kappa - 3)(\kappa - 1)(2\kappa - 1)} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

From this equation we see that κ must be greater than $3/2$ for a finite E_0 .

Now let us consider the issue of $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}$ and the pressure gradient ∇p . Since ρ is constant, we see from equation (2) that we must have

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_k}(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0 \quad (9)$$

in order to satisfy the equation of continuity. Therefore $\mathbf{u}^0(\mathbf{x})$ in equation (5) must be a divergence-free vector function. Taking the divergence of both sides of equation (4a), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot [(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}] = \nu \nabla^2 (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}) - \nabla^2 p \quad (10)$$

Inserting equation (9) into (10), we obtain

$$\nabla^2 p = -\nabla \cdot [(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u}] \quad (11)$$

Carrying out the differentiations indicated on the right hand side of equation (11), and using equation (9), we have

$$\nabla^2 p = -\sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k} \right) \left(\frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j} \right) = -Q(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (12)$$

(See Ref. 2, p. 35, Ref. 7 Ch. 2, Ref. 8) where we have defined

$$Q(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_k}(\mathbf{x}, t) \frac{\partial u_k}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right) \quad (13)$$

Equation (12) governs the pressure needed in order to satisfy equation (9). If the partial derivatives of the u_j and u_k on the right-hand side of equation (12) are known functions of the spatial coordinates \mathbf{x} , we can solve this equation as a form of Poisson's equation. From potential theory (Ref. 9, 10, 11), the solution is

$$p(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') Q(\mathbf{x}', t) d^3 \mathbf{x}' = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{Q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3 \mathbf{x}' \quad (14)$$

where

$$G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \quad (15)$$

is the Greens function associated with the Poisson equation and the boundary condition that the solution approach zero as $|\mathbf{x}|$ approaches infinity. Taking the gradient of both sides of equation (14), we have

$$\nabla p(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q(\mathbf{x}', t) \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^3} d^3 \mathbf{x}' \quad (16)$$

EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTION

Existence and Spatial Dependence of Scalar Pressure Function. Before demonstrating a solution to the Navier-Stokes equation (4) with the given initial condition and incompressibility constraint, we must first verify that the scalar pressure function p does in fact exist and has the proper spatial dependence for fluid velocity fields $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ that satisfy

$$|u_i(\mathbf{x}, t)| \leq \frac{a^\kappa}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa} A[u_i](t) \quad (17)$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right| \leq \frac{a^\kappa}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa} A \left[\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right](t) \quad (18)$$

and in general

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right| \leq \frac{a^\kappa}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa} A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}} \right](t) \quad (19)$$

where the $A[\]$ coefficients may vary with time but not the spatial coordinates.¹ Note that the C_m coefficients from inequality (6) can be used as initial values for the $A[\](t)$ functions in (17)-(19). In this section, these inequalities are taken as a given, and we show that the scalar pressure function p and its gradient ∇p exists

¹Throughout this section, we use $A[f]$ to denote a proportionality coefficient associated with the function f enclosed in the square brackets, where f has the property of approaching zero as $1/(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. This coefficient, which may depend on time but not the spacial coordinates, is defined such that $|f(\mathbf{x}, t)| \leq a^\kappa A[f]/(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa$. This notation was chosen in order to avoid large numbers of variable names and/or subscripts and confusion about their meanings.

for fluid velocity spatial profiles satisfying these boundary conditions “at infinity”. In the following sections, we use the initial conditions along with the results of this section to show that solutions $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ to the Navier-Stokes equation do in fact satisfy (17)-(19) for all values of t for which $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ remains defined.

We start by obtaining expressions, based on the Poisson integral, for p and its spatial derivatives. Let us choose three non-negative integers m_1 , m_2 , and m_3 , and different equation (12) m_1 times with respect to x_1 , m_2 times with respect to x_2 , and m_3 times with respect to x_3 . The result is

$$\nabla^2 \left(\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} p(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial^{m_1} x_1 \partial^{m_2} x_2 \partial^{m_3} x_3} \right) = - \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} Q(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial^{m_1} x_1 \partial^{m_2} x_2 \partial^{m_3} x_3} \quad (20)$$

Then, using the same potential theory that was used in equation (14), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} p(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial^{m_1} x_1 \partial^{m_2} x_2 \partial^{m_3} x_3} = - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} Q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{\partial^{m_1} x_1 \partial^{m_2} x_2 \partial^{m_3} x_3} d^3 \mathbf{x}' \quad (21)$$

We also obtain the spatial derivatives of the ∇p components (ie. $\partial p / \partial x_i$) by differentiating equation (21) with respect to x_i . The result is

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+1} p(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial^{m_1} x_1 \partial^{m_2} x_2 \partial^{m_3} x_3 \partial x_i} = - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{x_i - x'_i}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^3} \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} Q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{\partial^{m_1} x_1 \partial^{m_2} x_2 \partial^{m_3} x_3} d^3 \mathbf{x}' \quad (22)$$

where we have defined the function h as

$$h(\mathbf{x}, t) = h[m_1, m_2, m_3](\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} p(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial^{m_1} x_1 \partial^{m_2} x_2 \partial^{m_3} x_3} \quad (23)$$

We now differentiate equation (13) m_1 times with respect to x_1 , m_2 times with respect to x_2 , and m_3 times with respect to x_3 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} Q(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}} &= \sum_{j=0}^3 \sum_{k=0}^3 \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m_1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{m_2} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m_3} \binom{m_1}{\alpha} \binom{m_2}{\beta} \binom{m_3}{\gamma} \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3-\alpha-\beta-\gamma+1} u_k(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial x_1^{m_1-\alpha} \partial x_2^{m_2-\beta} \partial x_3^{m_3-\gamma} \partial x_j} \\ &\times \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+1} u_j(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3} \partial x_k} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where we have used the Leibnitz rule for determining higher derivatives of the product of two functions. The quantities in parentheses to the right of the summation signs are binomial coefficients. Since, by hypothesis, each of the derivatives on the right-hand side of equation (24) approaches zero as $1/(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa$ as $|\mathbf{x}|$ increases, this equation implies

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} Q(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}} \right| \leq \frac{a^{2\kappa} B(t)}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^{2\kappa}} \quad (25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} B(t) &= \sum_{j=i}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m_1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{m_2} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m_3} \binom{m_1}{\alpha} \binom{m_2}{\beta} \binom{m_3}{\gamma} \\ &\times A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3-\alpha-\beta-\gamma+1} u_k(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial x_1^{m_1-\alpha} \partial x_2^{m_2-\beta} \partial x_3^{m_3-\gamma} \partial x_j} \right] A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+1} u_j(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3} \partial x_k} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

and the A] coefficients are defined in inequality (19). Taking the absolute value of both sides of equation (21) and using the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} p(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial^{m_1} x_1 \partial^{m_2} x_2 \partial^{m_3} x_3} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} Q}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}}(\mathbf{x}', t) \right| d^3 \mathbf{x}' \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} \frac{a^{2\kappa} B(t)}{(|\mathbf{x}'| + a)^{2\kappa}} d^3 \mathbf{x}' \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Expressing the integral on the right-hand side of this inequality in spherical coordinates, we write

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} p(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial^{m_1} x_1 \partial^{m_2} x_2 \partial^{m_3} x_3} \right| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} B(t) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(r'+a)^{2\kappa}} \frac{r'^2 \sin \theta'}{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr' \cos \theta']^{1/2}} d\phi' d\theta' dr' \quad (28)$$

Performing the integration over ϕ and making the change of variable $v' = \cos \theta'$ gives us

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} p(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial^{m_1} x_1 \partial^{m_2} x_2 \partial^{m_3} x_3} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} B(t) \int_0^\infty \int_{-1}^1 \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(r'+a)^{2\kappa}} \frac{r'^2}{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v']^{1/2}} dv' dr' \quad (29)$$

We now carry out the integration over v' to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} p(\mathbf{x}, t)}{\partial^{m_1} x_1 \partial^{m_2} x_2 \partial^{m_3} x_3} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{2} B(t) \int_0^\infty \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(r'+a)^{2\kappa}} \frac{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v']^{1/2} r'^2}{-rr'} \Big|_{-1}^1 dr' \\ &= \frac{1}{2} B(t) \int_0^\infty \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(r'+a)^{2\kappa}} r + r' - |r - r'| r r' dr' \\ &= \frac{B(t)}{r} \int_0^r \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(r'+a)^{2\kappa}} r'^2 dr' + B(t) \int_r^\infty \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(r'+a)^{2\kappa}} \frac{r'^2}{r'} dr' \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Since $r' > r$ in the second term on the right-hand side of this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |h| &\leq \frac{B(t)}{r} \int_0^r \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(r'+a)^{2\kappa}} r'^2 dr' + \frac{B(t)}{r} \int_r^\infty \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(r'+a)^{2\kappa}} r'^2 dr' \\ &= \frac{B(t)}{r} \int_0^\infty \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(r'+a)^{2\kappa}} r'^2 dr' = \frac{a^3 B(t)}{(2\kappa - 3)(\kappa - 1)(2\kappa - 1)r} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

From this inequality, we see that p and its spatial derivatives approach zero at least as fast as $1/r$ as r gets larger.

Let us now show that the spatial derivatives of ∇p must approach zero as $1/r^2$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Differentiating equation (25) with respect to x_i ($i = 1, 2, 3$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} p}{\partial^{m_1} x_1 \partial^{m_2} x_2 \partial^{m_3} x_3} \right) = \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3}}{\partial^{m_1} x_1 \partial^{m_2} x_2 \partial^{m_3} x_3} \left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{x_i - x'_i}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^3} \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} Q}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}}(\mathbf{x}', t) d^3 \mathbf{x}' \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Thus far, we have not made any assumptions about the orientation of the coordinate axes. Therefore, let us define our coordinate axes such that the point \mathbf{x} is on the positive x_3 axis. In this case, the radial direction is along $+x_3$, and we may write

$$\mathbf{x} = r \mathbf{e}_3 = r \mathbf{e}_r \text{ or equivalently } x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0, x_3 = r \quad (33)-(35)$$

where \mathbf{e}_3 and \mathbf{e}_r are unit vectors in the x_3 and radial directions respectively. For the primed coordinates, we have

$$x'_1 = r' \sin \theta' \cos \phi', x'_2 = r' \sin \theta' \sin \phi', x'_3 = r' \cos \theta' \quad (36)-(38)$$

Inserting equations (33)-(38) into (32) and setting $i = 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_3}(\mathbf{x}, t) &= -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} Q}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}}(r' \sin \theta' \cos \phi', r' \sin \theta' \sin \phi', r' \cos \theta', t) \\ &\quad \times (r - r' \cos \theta') r'^2 \sin \theta' [r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr' \cos \theta']^{3/2} d\phi' d\theta' dr' = \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

where we have used equations (36)-(38) to express the (Cartesian) components of \mathbf{x}' in terms of the primed spherical coordinates. We will later show that this radial component of ∇h is in fact the dominant component in the limit of large values of

$|\mathbf{x}|$. Taking the absolute value of both sides of equation (39) and using the triangle inequality gives us

$$\left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} Q}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}}(r' \sin \theta' \cos \phi', r' \sin \theta' \sin \phi', r' \cos \theta', t) \right| \\ \times \left| \frac{(r - r' \cos \theta') r'^2 \sin \theta'}{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr' \cos \theta']^{3/2}} \right| d\phi' d\theta' dr' \quad (40)$$

Inserting inequality (25) into (40), we then have

$$\left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{a^{2\kappa} B(t)}{(r' + a)^{2\kappa}} \frac{|r - r' \cos \theta'| r'^2 \sin \theta'}{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr' \cos \theta']^{3/2}} d\phi' d\theta' dr' \quad (41)$$

Performing the integration with respect to ϕ' in this inequality, we obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} B(t) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\pi \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(r' + a)^{2\kappa}} \frac{|r - r' \cos \theta'| r'^2 \sin \theta'}{[r^2 + r'^2 - rr' \cos \theta']^{3/2}} d\theta' dr' \quad (42)$$

If we define

$$L(r) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(r' + a)^{2\kappa}} J(r, r') dr' \quad (43)$$

where

$$J(r, r') = \int_0^\pi \frac{|r - r' \cos \theta'| r'^2 \sin \theta'}{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr' \cos \theta']^{3/2}} d\theta' \quad (44)$$

Then we may write inequality (42) as

$$\left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right| \leq B(t)L(r) = \frac{1}{2} B(t) \int_0^\infty \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(r' + a)^{2\kappa}} J(r, r') dr' \quad (45)$$

Let us now evaluate the integral in this equation. We first consider the case of $r' < r$. In this case equation (44) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} J(r, r') &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{r'^2(r - r'v)}{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v]^{3/2}} dv = \frac{r'^2}{2r} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{2r^2 - 2rr'v}{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v]^{3/2}} dv \\ &= \frac{r'^2}{2r} \left[\int_{-1}^1 \frac{r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v}{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v]^{3/2}} dv + \int_{-1}^1 \frac{r^2 - r'^2}{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v]^{3/2}} dv \right] \\ &= \frac{r'^2}{2r} \left[\int_{-1}^1 [r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v]^{-1/2} dv + \int_{-1}^1 \frac{r^2 - r'^2}{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v]^{3/2}} dv \right] \\ &= \frac{r'^2}{2r} \left[\left(-\frac{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v]^{1/2}}{rr'} \right) \Big|_{-1}^1 + \left(\frac{r^2 - r'^2}{rr'} [r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v]^{-1/2} \right) \Big|_{-1}^1 \right] \\ &= \frac{r'^2}{2r} \left[2 \left(\frac{r + r' - (r - r')}{rr'} \right) \right] = 2 \frac{r'^2}{r^2} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where we have made the change of variable $v' = \cos \theta'$. For $r < r'$, the factor $r - r' \cos \theta'$, whose absolute value appears in equations (41)-(44), is less than zero for values of $v' = \cos \theta' > r/r'$. Therefore, we must change the sign of the integrand

at $v = r/r'$ when evaluating $J(r, r')$. This function for $r < r'$ then becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
J(r, r') &= \int_{-1}^{r/r'} \frac{r'^2(r - r'v)}{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v]^{3/2}} dv - \int_{r/r'}^1 \frac{r'^2(r - r'v)}{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v]^{3/2}} dv \\
&= \frac{r'^2}{2r} \left[\frac{r'^2}{2r} \left(- \frac{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v]^{1/2}}{rr'} \right) \Big|_{-1}^{r/r'} - \left(\frac{r^2 - r'^2}{rr'} [r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v]^{-1/2} \right) \Big|_{-1}^{r/r'} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{r'^2}{2r} \left[\frac{r'^2}{2r} \left(\frac{[r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v]^{1/2}}{rr'} \right) \Big|_{r/r'}^1 + \left(\frac{r^2 - r'^2}{rr'} [r^2 + r'^2 - 2rr'v]^{-1/2} \right) \Big|_{r/r'}^1 \right] \\
&= 2 \frac{r'^2}{r^2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{r}{r'} \right)^2} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

Let us check continuity of this function near $r = 0$ by evaluating

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} J(r, r') = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} 2 \frac{r'^2}{r^2} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{r}{r'} \right)^2} \right) = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} 2 \frac{1-s}{1-s^2} = \lim_{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{2}{1+s} = 1 \tag{48}$$

where we have made the change of variable

$$s = \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{r}{r'} \right)^2}$$

Since $J(r, r')$ has a finite limit as r approaches zero for any value of $r' > r$, this function is continuous and therefore can be integrated with respect to r near $r = 0$. From equations (46) and (47), we see that

$$J(r, r') \leq 2 \frac{r'^2}{r^2} \tag{49}$$

if either $r < r'$ or $r > r'$. Inserting inequality (49) into equation (43) we obtain

$$L(r) \leq \frac{1}{r^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{a^{2\kappa} r'^2}{(r' + a)^{2\kappa}} dr' = \frac{a^3}{(2\kappa - 3)(\kappa - 1)(2\kappa - 1)r^2} \tag{50}$$

which shows the $1/r^2$ asymptotic behavior of $L(r)$ in the limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$. At first sight of inequality (50), one might believe that it implies a singularity exists at $r = 0$. This ‘‘singularity’’, however, is merely an artifact of our gross over-estimation of $J(r, r')$ near $r = 0$. As we have already shown, $J(r, r')$ remains continuous and integrable near $r = 0$. Inserting this result into inequality (45) then gives us

$$\left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial r}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right| \leq B(t)L(r) \leq \frac{a^3 B(t)}{(2\kappa - 3)(\kappa - 1)(2\kappa - 1)r^2} \tag{51}$$

Thus, we see that $|\partial h/\partial r|$ approaches zero as $1/r^2$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and again the left-hand side of this inequality remains bounded and continuous as $r \rightarrow 0$.

From inequality (25), we see that the non-homogeneous term on the right-hand side of equation (21) approaches zero as $1/r^{2\kappa}$ as r increases. According to inequality (31), however, h approaches zero as $1/r$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the non-homogeneous term in equation (21) can in general be made arbitrarily small compared with the function h and its derivatives by choosing r sufficiently large. This implies that h must approach a harmonic function (ie. solution of Laplace’s equation $\nabla^2 h = 0$) in the limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Let h_L be the (harmonic) function that describes the asymptotic behavior of h as $r \rightarrow \infty$. That is h_L is the function to which h approaches as r increases. Since h_L is a harmonic function that approaches

zero as $r \rightarrow \infty$, it can be written as

$$h_L(r, \theta, \phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l D_{lm} r^{-(l+1)} Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \quad (52)$$

where the D_{lm} are constants and the Y_{lm} are the spherical harmonics. Taking the gradient of both sides of this equation, we have

$$\nabla h_L = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^l D_{lm} r^{-(l+2)} \left[-(l+1) Y_{lm}(\theta, \phi) \mathbf{e}_r + \frac{\partial Y_{lm}}{\partial \theta}(\theta, \phi) \mathbf{e}_\theta + \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial Y_{lm}}{\partial \phi}(\theta, \phi) \mathbf{e}_\phi \right] \quad (53)$$

Examining equations (52) and (53), we see that the dominate terms (at large values of r) in h_L and ∇h_L are those with $l = m = 0$. Therefore, the asymptotic behavior of h and ∇h can be expressed as

$$h \rightarrow -\frac{D_{00}}{\sqrt{4\pi r}} \text{ and } \nabla h \rightarrow -\frac{D_{00}}{\sqrt{4\pi r^2}} \mathbf{e}_r \quad (54), (55)$$

in the limit as $r \rightarrow \infty$, with a properly chosen constant D_{00} .² Also, note that equations (54) and (55) are consistent with inequalities (31) and (51) respectively for large values of r .

From equation (55), we see that in the limit as $r = |\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$, ∇h approaches a vector function with only a radial component. This implies that there must be a value r_1 such that for $r > r_1$, we have

$$|\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_\theta| < |\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_r|, \text{ and } |\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_\phi| < |\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_r| \quad (56), (57)$$

where \mathbf{e}_θ and \mathbf{e}_ϕ are unit vectors in the polar and azimuthal directions respectively. Therefore $\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_r$, $\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_\theta$, and $\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_\phi$ are the components of ∇h in the radial, polar, and azimuthal directions respectively. The absolute value of ∇h is given by

$$|\nabla h| = \sqrt{(\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_r)^2 + (\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_\theta)^2 + (\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_\phi)^2} \quad (58)$$

Inserting (56) and (57) into (58), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla h| &= \sqrt{(\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_r)^2 + (\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_r)^2 + (\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_r)^2} \leq \sqrt{3} |\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_r| \\ &= \sqrt{3} |\nabla h \cdot \mathbf{e}_r| = \sqrt{3} \left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial r} \right| \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

for $r > r_1$. Let us define $r_0 = \max[r_1, a]$. We then have from inequality (50)

$$L(r) \leq \frac{a^3}{3r^2} = \frac{4a^3}{3(2r)^2} \leq \frac{4a^3}{3(r+r_0)^2} \leq \frac{4a^3}{3(r+a)^2} \text{ if } r > r_0 \quad (60)$$

If $r < r_0$, we define L_{\max} as the maximum of L over the radial interval $0 \leq r \leq r_0$. Then we may write

$$L(r)(r+r_0)^2 \leq 4L_{\max}r_0^2 \text{ which implies } L(r) \leq \frac{4L_{\max}r_0^2}{(r+r_0)^2} \leq \frac{4L_{\max}r_0^2}{(r+a)^2} \quad (61)$$

for $r < r_0$. Combining our results from inequalities (60) and (61), we have

$$L(r) \leq \frac{a^2}{(r+a)^2} A[L] \quad (62)$$

where we have defined

$$A[L](m_1, m_2, m_3) = 4 \max \left[L_{\max} \frac{r_0^2}{a^2}, \frac{a}{3} \right] \quad (63)$$

²This result is analogous to the dominance of the monopole term in the far-field (ie. large values of $|\mathbf{x}|$) in an electrostatics problem (See Ref. 11, Chapter 4). In such a problem, h corresponds to the electrostatic potential, ∇h corresponds to the electric field, and the right-hand side of equation (21) corresponds to the charge density.

and m_1, m_2 , and m_3 are the positive integers chosen for equation (20). Multiplying both sides of inequality (51) by $\sqrt{3}$ and using (59) then gives us

$$|\nabla h| \leq \frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{(r+a)^2} A[L](m_1, m_2, m_3) B(t) = \frac{\sqrt{3}a^2}{(|\mathbf{x}|+a)^2} A[L](m_1, m_2, m_3) B(t) \quad (64)$$

Since $|\partial h/\partial x_i| \leq |\nabla h|$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, this inequality along with equation (20) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+1} p}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3} \partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t_n) \right| &\leq \frac{a^2}{(|\mathbf{x}|+a)^2} A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+1} p}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3} \partial x_i} \right](t) \quad (65) \\ &\leq \frac{a^\kappa}{(|\mathbf{x}|+a)^\kappa} A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+1} p}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3} \partial x_i} \right](t) \end{aligned}$$

where we have defined

$$A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+1} p}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3} \partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right] = \sqrt{3} A[L](m_1, m_2, m_3) B(t) \quad (66)$$

and $B(t)$ is given in equation (26). From this inequality and equation (26), we see that $|\nabla h| \rightarrow 0$ as $1/(|\mathbf{x}|+a)^2$ in the limit as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$ provided the absolute value of the spatial derivatives (to all orders) do so also. Hence, the components of ∇p and their spatial derivatives to all order satisfy the required boundary conditions.

Spatial Dependence of Solution. Before establishing existence of a solution of the given problem, let us consider the maximum velocity spatial profiles we would expect such a solution to have. We start by defining a grid G on a finite time interval $0 \leq t \leq T$ which consists of N time values t_n such that

$$0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_N = T \quad (67)$$

where N is a positive integer and T is the arbitrarily chosen length of the solution interval. Let us now define a finite difference approximation $\mathbf{u}^{(G)}$ of the solution \mathbf{u} to equation (4). First, we initialize $\mathbf{u}^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ to $\mathbf{u}^0(\mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{u}^0(\mathbf{x})$ is the initial profile of the solution $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ given in equation (5). Therefore we write

$$\mathbf{u}^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{u}^0(\mathbf{x}) \text{ or equivalently } u_i^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = u_i^0(\mathbf{x}) \quad (68)$$

Next, we define the function $\mathbf{u}^{(G)}$ at the chosen time grid values t_n for $n \geq 1$ according to the recursion relation

$$\begin{aligned} u_i^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_{n+1}) &= \left[\nu \nabla^2 u_i^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_n) - \sum_{k=1}^3 u_k^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_n) \frac{\partial u_i^{(G)}}{\partial x_k}(\mathbf{x}, t_n) - \frac{\partial p^{(G)}}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t_n) \right] \Delta t_n \\ &\quad + u_i^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_n) \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

where

$$\Delta t_n = t_{n+1} - t_n \quad (70)$$

For values of t between t_n and t_{n+1} , we define the linear interpolation in time

$$\mathbf{u}^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \left[\mathbf{u}^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_{n+1}) - \mathbf{u}^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_n) \right] \frac{t - t_n}{\Delta t_n} + \mathbf{u}^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_n) \quad (71)$$

Since equations (67)-(71) define a finite difference approximation to the solution of equation (4), we expect the approximation $\mathbf{u}^{(G)}$ to converge to the solution \mathbf{u} in the limit as all of the Δt_n approach zero, provided that \mathbf{u} remains defined on the interval $0 \leq t \leq T$. Although these equations precisely define $\mathbf{u}^{(G)}$ for any time grid G , we have not yet shown that $\mathbf{u}^{(G)}$ is bounded on the given time interval in the limit as the Δt_n approach zero. To prove existence, we must show that the function \mathbf{u} defined as

$$\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \lim_{\Delta t_{\max} \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{u}^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (72)$$

(where Δt_{\max} is the largest value of Δt_n) does in fact remain bounded on $0 \leq t \leq T$. In this section, however, we are only considering the spatial dependence of the solution assuming it does exist.

At this point, we show by induction that inequality (19) must be true for all values of t_n since, by hypothesis, it is true initially (ie. for $t_0 = 0$). Assuming this inequality is true for some grid time t_n , we write

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}}(\mathbf{x}, t_n) \right| \leq \frac{a^\kappa}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa} A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}} \right](t_n) \quad (73)$$

Differentiating equation (69) m_1 times with respect to x_1 , m_2 times with respect to x_2 , and m_3 times with respect to x_3 gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i^{(G)}}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}}(\mathbf{x}, t_{n+1}) &= \left[\nu \sum_{k=1}^3 \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+2} u_i^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_n)}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3} \partial x_k^2} - \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+1} p^{(G)}}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3} \partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t_n) \right. \\ &- \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m_1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{m_2} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m_3} \binom{m_1}{\alpha} \binom{m_2}{\beta} \binom{m_3}{\gamma} \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3-\alpha-\beta-\gamma} u_i^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_n)}{\partial x_1^{m_1-\alpha} \partial x_2^{m_2-\beta} \partial x_3^{m_3-\gamma}} \frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+1} u_i^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_n)}{\partial x_1^\alpha \partial x_2^\beta \partial x_3^\gamma \partial x_k} \Big] \Delta t_n \\ &+ \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i^{(G)}}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}}(\mathbf{x}, t_n) \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

where again we have used the Leibnitz rule for finding higher derivatives of product functions. As before, the quantities in parentheses to the right of the summation signs are binomial coefficients. Taking the absolute value of both sides of equation (74) and using the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i^{(G)}}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}}(\mathbf{x}, t_{n+1}) \right| &\leq \left[\sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m_1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{m_2} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m_3} \binom{m_1}{\alpha} \binom{m_2}{\beta} \binom{m_3}{\gamma} \right. \\ &\times \left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3-\alpha-\beta-\gamma} u_i^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_n)}{\partial x_1^{m_1-\alpha} \partial x_2^{m_2-\beta} \partial x_3^{m_3-\gamma}} \right| \left| \frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+1} u_i^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_n)}{\partial x_1^\alpha \partial x_2^\beta \partial x_3^\gamma \partial x_k} \right| \\ &+ \nu \sum_{k=1}^3 \left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+2} u_i^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_n)}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3} \partial x_k^2} \right| + \sum_{k=1}^3 \left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+1} p^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_n)}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3} \partial x_i} \right| \Big] \Delta t_n \\ &+ \left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t_n)}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}} \right| \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Inserting inequalities (65) and (73) into (75), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i^{(G)}}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}}(\mathbf{x}, t_{n+1}) \right| &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m_1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{m_2} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m_3} \binom{m_1}{\alpha} \binom{m_2}{\beta} \binom{m_3}{\gamma} \right. \\ &\times \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^{2\kappa}} A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3-\alpha-\beta-\gamma} u_k}{\partial x_1^{m_1-\alpha} \partial x_2^{m_2-\beta} \partial x_3^{m_3-\gamma}} \right](t_n) A \left[\frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+1} u_i}{\partial x_1^\alpha \partial x_2^\beta \partial x_3^\gamma \partial x_k} \right](t_n) \\ &+ \frac{a^\kappa}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa} \nu \sum_{k=1}^3 A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+2} u_i}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3} \partial x_k^2} \right](t_n) + A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+1} p}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3} \partial x_i} \right](t_n) \Big) \Delta t_n \\ &+ \frac{a^\kappa}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa} A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}} \right](t_n) \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

Factoring $a^\kappa/(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa$ from the right-hand side of this inequality, we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i^{(G)}}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}}(\mathbf{x}, t_{n+1}) \right| \leq \frac{a^\kappa}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa} A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}} \right](t_{n+1}) \quad (77)$$

where we have defined

$$\begin{aligned}
A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}}(t_{n+1}) \right] &= \sum_{k=1}^3 \sum_{\alpha=0}^{m_1} \sum_{\beta=0}^{m_2} \sum_{\gamma=0}^{m_3} \binom{m_1}{\alpha} \binom{m_2}{\beta} \binom{m_3}{\gamma} \\
&\times A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3-\alpha-\beta-\gamma} u_k}{\partial x_1^{m_1-\alpha} \partial x_2^{m_2-\beta} \partial x_3^{m_3-\gamma}} \right](t_n) A \left[\frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+1} u_i}{\partial x_1^\alpha \partial x_2^\beta \partial x_3^\gamma \partial x_k} \right](t_n) \Delta t_n \\
&+ \nu \sum_{k=1}^3 A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+2} u_i}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3} \partial x_k^2} \right](t_n) \Delta t_n + A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3+1} p}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3} \partial x_i} \right](t_n) \Delta t_n \\
&+ A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}} \right](t_n)
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

and have used the fact that

$$\frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(|\mathbf{x}|+a)^{2\kappa}} \leq \frac{a^\kappa}{(|\mathbf{x}|+a)^\kappa} \leq 1$$

Inequality (77), however, is merely inequality (73) with n replaced by $n+1$. Since inequality (6) implies that (73) is true for $n=0$, we have shown inductively that for all n , there exists time-dependent coefficients $A[\cdot](t_n)$ such that (73) is true. Since these spatial dependencies of the $u_i^{(G)}$ must hold for any positive integer N , inequality (73) becomes

$$\left| \frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right| \leq \frac{a^\kappa}{(|\mathbf{x}|+a)^\kappa} A \left[\frac{\partial^{m_1+m_2+m_3} u_i}{\partial x_1^{m_1} \partial x_2^{m_2} \partial x_3^{m_3}} \right](t) \tag{79}$$

in the limit as $\Delta t_{\max} \rightarrow 0$. The solution \mathbf{u} as defined in equation (72) must be consistent with this inequality.

Existence of Pressure Gradient Integral over Time. As indicated in the previous section, equations (67)-(71) define a finite difference approximation to the solution \mathbf{u} . This solution will exist if we can show that the $\mathbf{u}^{(G)}$ remain bounded in the limit as the time step sizes approach zero. We must first, however, establish that the time integral of the scalar pressure gradient ∇p exists and remains finite over any finite time interval. We start with the original Navier-Stokes equation.

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = \nu \nabla^2 u_i - \sum_{k=1}^3 u_k \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} \tag{4b}$$

Multiplying both sides of this equation by u_i and summing over i , we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 u_i \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i \nabla^2 u_i - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 u_i u_k \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_k} - \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} \tag{80}$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} u_i^2 \right) = u_i \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t}$$

Equation (80) can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} u_i^2 \right) = \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i \nabla^2 u_i - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{1}{2} u_i^2 \right) - \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} \tag{81}$$

From elementary vector analysis, we have

$$\nabla \cdot (u_i \nabla u_i) = u_i \nabla \cdot (\nabla u_i) + \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i = u_i \nabla^2 u_i + \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i$$

and therefore

$$u_i \nabla^2 u_i = \nabla \cdot (u_i \nabla u_i) - \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i = \nabla^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} u_i^2 \right) - \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i \tag{82}$$

Inserting this result into equation (81), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} u_i^2 \right) = \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \nabla^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} u_i^2 \right) - \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \left(\frac{1}{2} u_i^2 \right) - \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i} \quad (83)$$

If we define the energy density of fluid motion K as

$$K(\mathbf{x}, t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^3 (u_i(\mathbf{x}, t))^2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (84)$$

equation (83) can be written as

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial t} = \nu \nabla^2 K - \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i - \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i} - \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}$$

or equivalently

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial K}{\partial t} &= \nu \nabla^2 K - \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla K - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla p \\ &= \nu \nabla \cdot (\nabla K) - \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 (\nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i) - \nabla \cdot [(K + p)\mathbf{u}] \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

where we have used the fact that $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ in the last step. Let us now define the total energy of fluid motion as

$$E(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} K(\mathbf{x}, t) d^3 \mathbf{x} \quad (86)$$

The initial value E_0 of this function was shown to be finite in equation (7). Let us examine the evolution of the function $E(t)$. Integrating equation (85) over \mathbb{R}^3 and using (86) gives us

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \cdot (\nabla K) d^3 \mathbf{x} - \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i) d^3 \mathbf{x} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \cdot [(p + K)\mathbf{u}] d^3 \mathbf{x} \quad (87)$$

We now show that the first and third terms on the right-hand side of equation (87) vanish. Integrating equation (86) over the spherical region in \mathbb{R}^3 defined by $|\mathbf{x}| \leq R$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq R} K(\mathbf{x}, t) d^3 \mathbf{x} &= \nu \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq R} \nabla \cdot (\nabla K) d^3 \mathbf{x} - \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq R} (\nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i) d^3 \mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq R} \nabla \cdot [(p + K)\mathbf{u}] d^3 \mathbf{x} \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

Applying the divergence theorem to the first and third terms on the right-hand side of equation (88), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq R} K(\mathbf{x}, t) d^3 \mathbf{x} &= \nu \int_{|\mathbf{x}|=R} \nabla K \cdot \mathbf{e}_r dS - \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{|\mathbf{x}| \leq R} (\nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i) d^3 \mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \int_{|\mathbf{x}|=R} (p + K) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r dS \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

where \mathbf{e}_r is the unit vector in the radial direction. Differentiating both sides of equation (84) with respect to x_j gives us

$$\frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i(\mathbf{x}, t) \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (90)$$

Since the function \mathbf{u} as defined in equation (72) must be consistent with inequality (79), we take the absolute value of both sides of equation (90) and use inequality (79) along with the triangle inequality to obtain

$$\left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 |u_i(\mathbf{x}, t)| \left| \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right| \leq \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^{2\kappa}} \sum_{i=1}^3 A[u_i](t) A \left[\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right](t) \quad (91)$$

From this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla K(\mathbf{x}, t)| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \left| \frac{\partial K}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 |u_i(\mathbf{x}, t)| \left| \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^{2\kappa}} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 A[u_i](t) A \left[\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right](t) \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

Applying inequality (92) to the first integral on the right-hand side of equation (89) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{|\mathbf{x}|=R} \nabla K \cdot \mathbf{e}_r dS \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 A[u_i](t) A \left[\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right](t) \int_{|\mathbf{x}|=R} \frac{a^{2\kappa}}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^{2\kappa}} dS \\ &= \frac{4\pi R^2 a^{2\kappa}}{(R + a)^{2\kappa}} \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 A[u_i](t) A \left[\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j} \right](t) \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

Taking the limit of both sides of this inequality as $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\mathbf{x}|=R} \nabla K \cdot \mathbf{e}_r dS = 0 \quad (94)$$

From the last term on the right-hand side of equation (89), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{|\mathbf{x}|=R} (p + K) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r dS \right| &\leq \int_{|\mathbf{x}|=R} |p + K| |\mathbf{u}| dS \\ &\leq \int_{|\mathbf{x}|=R} \left(\overline{|p|}(R) + \overline{K}(R) \right) \frac{a^\kappa A[|\mathbf{u}|]}{(R + a)^\kappa} dS \\ &= 4\pi R^2 \left(\overline{|p|}(R) + \overline{K}(R) \right) \frac{a^\kappa A[|\mathbf{u}|]}{(R + a)^\kappa} \\ &= 4\pi R^2 \overline{|p|}(R) \frac{a^\kappa A[|\mathbf{u}|]}{(R + a)^\kappa} + 4\pi R^2 \overline{K}(R) \frac{a^\kappa A[|\mathbf{u}|]}{(R + a)^\kappa} \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

where we have defined $\overline{|p|}(R)$ and $\overline{K}(R)$ as the average values of $|p|$ and K respectively for $|\mathbf{x}| = R$. Also, we have defined the fluid velocity magnitude coefficient $A[|\mathbf{u}|](t)$ as

$$A[|\mathbf{u}|](t) = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^3 A^2[u_k](t)} \quad \text{so that} \quad |\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)| \leq \frac{a^\kappa}{(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa} A[|\mathbf{u}|] \quad (96)$$

where the $A[u_i](t)$ are from equation (17). In the right-hand side of inequality (95), the scalar pressure p approaches zero as $1/R$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, and the kinetic energy density K approaches zero as $1/R^{2\kappa}$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the first term of the right-hand side of this inequality approaches zero as $1/R^{\kappa-1}$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$, and the second term approaches zero as $1/R^{3\kappa-2}$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\kappa > 3/2$, it follows then that both terms on the right-hand side of inequality (95) vanish as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|\mathbf{x}|=R} (p + K) \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_r dS = 0 \quad (97)$$

Now we take the limit as $R \rightarrow \infty$ of both sides of equation (89), and use (94) and (97) to obtain

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = -\nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i) d^3 \mathbf{x} = -\nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right)^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} \quad (98)$$

Integrating equation (98) with respect to time gives us

$$E(t) = E_0 - \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right)^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' \leq E_0 \quad (99)$$

Since $E(t)$ is bounded below by zero, the summation of the integrals in equation (99) must be finite, and since each of these integrals is positive, they must all be finite. Therefore, we may write

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right)^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' = W_{ij}(t) \quad (100)$$

where each of the $W_{ij}(t)$ functions are finite for all $t > 0$ and as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us now establish a connection between the $W_{ij}(t)$ functions and the time integral of the scalar pressure gradient. We first note that since the integrands in equations (99) and (100) are everywhere greater than or equal to zero, we may write

$$\int_0^t \int_{S^3(t')} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right)^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' \leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right)^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' = W_{ij}(t) \quad (101)$$

where $S^3(t)$ can be any subset of \mathbb{R}^3 which may change with time. Let us now show that

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t') \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right| d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' \leq W_{ij}(t) + W_{ji}(t) \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3 \quad (102)$$

for all $t > 0$. We first define $S_{ij}^3(t)$ as the subset of S^3 (at time t) where the time integral of $|\partial u_i / \partial x_j|$ is greater than or equal to the time integral of $|\partial u_j / \partial x_i|$. We may then write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right| \left| \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right| d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' &\leq \int_0^t \int_{S_{ij}^3(t')} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right)^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 - S_{ij}^3(t')} \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right)^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

Since both integrands on the right-hand side of inequality (102) are positive and the subsets $S_{ij}^3(t)$ and $\mathbb{R}^3 - S_{ij}^3(t)$ are both contained within \mathbb{R}^3 for any time t , we have

$$\int_0^t \int_{S_{ij}^3(t')} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right)^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' \leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right)^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' \quad (104)$$

and

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 - S_{ij}^3(t')} \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right)^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' \leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right)^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' \quad (105)$$

Inserting these into inequality (103) then gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t') \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right| d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' &\leq \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right)^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right)^2 d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

From the definition of the $W_{ij}(t)$ functions in equation (100), inequality (106) can be written as

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t') \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right| d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' \leq W_{ij}(\mathbb{R}^3, t) + W_{ji}(\mathbb{R}^3, t) \quad (102)$$

thereby proving inequality (102). Applying the triangle inequality to equation (13), we have

$$|Q(\mathbf{x}, t)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \left| \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t) \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t) \right| \quad (107)$$

Integrating this inequality over \mathbb{R}^3 and $t > 0$, and using inequality (102) then gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Q(\mathbf{x}, t')| d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_j}(\mathbf{x}, t') \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_i}(\mathbf{x}, t') \right| d^3 \mathbf{x} dt' \quad (108) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 [W_{ij}(t) + W_{ji}(t)] = 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 W_{ij}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since each of the $W_{ij}(t)$ on the right-hand side of inequality (108) is finite, the integral of $|Q(\mathbf{x}, t)|$ over any time interval and any subset of \mathbb{R}^3 must also be finite. At this point, we show that this result implies that the time integral of $|\nabla p|$ must be finite for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t > 0$. Applying the triangle inequality to equation (16), we have

$$|\nabla p(\mathbf{x}, t)| \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |Q(\mathbf{x}', t)| \frac{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^3} d^3 \mathbf{x}' = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|Q(\mathbf{x}', t)|}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' \quad (109)$$

Integrating both sides of this inequality with respect time gives us

$$\int_0^t |\nabla p(\mathbf{x}, t')| dt' \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|Q(\mathbf{x}', t')|}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' dt' = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \int_0^t \frac{|Q(\mathbf{x}', t')|}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2} dt' d^3 \mathbf{x}' \quad (110)$$

where we have reversed the order of integration over space and time. This is valid since the solution $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and its spatial derivatives are smooth prior to any blowup. From inequality 110, we then have

$$\int_0^t |\nabla p(\mathbf{x}, t)| dt' \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2} \int_0^t |Q(\mathbf{x}', t')| dt' d^3 \mathbf{x}' = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' \quad (111)$$

where we have defined

$$q(\mathbf{x}, t) = \int_0^t |Q(\mathbf{x}, t')| dt' \quad (112)$$

To obtain an upper bound on the time integral of $|\nabla p(\mathbf{x}, t)|$, we first choose any finite number R and split the integral in inequality (111) into two integrals as follows

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' = \int_{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'| > R} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' + \int_{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'| \leq R} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' \quad (113)$$

From the first integral on the right-hand side of this equation, we have

$$\int_{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'| > R} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' < \int_{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'| > R} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{R^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' < \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q(\mathbf{x}', t) d^3 \mathbf{x}' \quad (114)$$

since $R < |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|$ and the integration region described by $\{\mathbf{x}' \mid |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'| > R\}$ is a subset of \mathbb{R}^3 . Therefore, according to inequality (114), the first integral on the

right-hand side of inequality (113) is finite. Hence, we have

$$\int_{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|>R} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' < \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} q(\mathbf{x}', t) d^3 \mathbf{x}' < \infty \quad (115)$$

Now let us consider the second integral on the right-hand side of equation (113). We note that this is an improper integral since the integration region contains the $|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|=0$ singularity. Therefore, we must evaluate this integral by excluding from the integration region a small sphere of radius ϵ centered at the singularity (ie. the point \mathbf{x}), doing the integral which now excludes the singularity, and then taking the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. The basic approach we use is to first define a set of concentric spheres $\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N$ with radii $\epsilon = r_0 < r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_N = R$ respectively. We then define a set of N spherical shells $S_1^3, S_2^3, S_3^3, \dots, S_N^3$ as the regions between two successive S spheres. That is,

$$S_1^3 = \text{Set of all points } \mathbf{x}' \text{ such that } r_0 \leq |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'| \leq r_1$$

$$S_2^3 = \text{Set of all points } \mathbf{x}' \text{ such that } r_1 \leq |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'| \leq r_2$$

$$S_3^3 = \text{Set of all points } \mathbf{x}' \text{ such that } r_2 \leq |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'| \leq r_3$$

⋮

$$S_N^3 = \text{Set of all points } \mathbf{x}' \text{ such that } r_{N-1} \leq |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'| \leq r_N$$

Also, we make the following definitions:

$$V_n = \frac{4}{3} \pi (r_n^3 - r_{n-1}^3) = \text{Volume of spherical shell } S_n^3 \text{ (} n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N)$$

$$\Delta r_n = r_n - r_{n-1} = \text{Thickness of spherical shell } S_n^3 \text{ (} n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N)$$

$$\bar{q}_n(t) = \frac{1}{V_n} \int_{S_n^3} q(\mathbf{x}', t) d^3 \mathbf{x}' = \text{Mean value of } q(\mathbf{x}', t) \text{ over } S_n^3 \text{ (} n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N)$$

$$\Delta r_{\max} = \max [\Delta r_n \text{ where } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N]$$

Note that the $\bar{q}_n(t)$ are all finite since V_n along with the integral of $q(\mathbf{x}, t)$ over any subset of \mathbb{R}^3 are both finite. With these definitions, the second integral on the right-hand side of equation (113) can be written as

$$\int_{\epsilon \leq |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'| \leq R} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' = \sum_{n=1}^N \int_{S_n^3} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' \quad (116)$$

In each of the integrals on the right-hand side of this equation, the minimum value of $|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|$ is r_{n-1} . Therefore, we may write

$$\int_{S_n^3} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' \leq \int_{S_n^3} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{r_{n-1}^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' = \frac{\bar{q}_n V_n}{r_{n-1}^2} \quad (117)$$

Next, we note that the volume V_n of S_n^3 must be less than the product of the surface area of the outer sphere σ_3 and the thickness Δr_n . That is

$$V_n < 4\pi r_n^2 \Delta r_n$$

Inserting this inequality into (117), we have

$$\int_{S_n^3} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' \leq \frac{\bar{q}_n V_n}{r_{n-1}^2} < 4\pi \bar{q}_n(t) \left(\frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}} \right)^2 \Delta r_n \quad (118)$$

Inserting this result into equation (116) then gives us

$$\int_{\epsilon \leq |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'| \leq R} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' < \sum_{n=1}^N 4\pi \bar{q}_n(t) \left(\frac{r_n}{r_{n-1}} \right)^2 \Delta r_n \quad (119)$$

Note that the sum on the right-hand side of this equation is finite since each of the $q_n(t)$ is also finite. Since inequality (119) holds for all sets $\{r_n\}$ such that $\epsilon = r_0 < r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_N = R$, it must also be true that in the limit as $\Delta r_{\max} \rightarrow 0$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$, this sum must also be finite and we have

$$\int_{\epsilon \leq |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'| \leq R} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' \leq \sum_{n=1}^N 4\pi \bar{q}_n(t) \Delta r_n \quad (120)$$

where we have used the fact that the ratio r_n/r_{n-1} approaches unity in the limit as $\Delta r_{\max} \rightarrow 0$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$. Also, the set of $q_n(t)$ becomes a continuum function $q(r, t)$ and the discrete sum becomes an integral. Therefore, we write

$$\int_{\epsilon \leq |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'| \leq R} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' \leq 4\pi \int_{\epsilon}^R \bar{q}(r, t) dr$$

Finally, since $\bar{q}(r, t)$ is finite at $R = 0$, the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ of the right-hand side of this inequality exists and is finite. Therefore, we have

$$\int_{\epsilon \leq |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'| \leq R} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' \leq 4\pi \int_0^R \bar{q}(r, t) dr \quad (121)$$

which is also finite. Inserting inequalities (113) and (121) into (111) gives us

$$\int_0^t |\nabla p(\mathbf{x}, t)| dt' \leq \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'| > R} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|^2} d^3 \mathbf{x}' + \int_0^R \bar{q}(r, t) dr \quad (122)$$

Since both terms on the right-hand side of this inequality have been shown to be finite, we have shown that the time integral of $|\nabla p(\mathbf{x}, t)|$ is finite for all \mathbf{x} and t , including the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This is a critical step toward establishing existence and smoothness of $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ over time.

Existence and Smoothness of Solution over Time. At this point, we show that a solution $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ which is initially smooth (ie. satisfies the boundary condition that $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ and its spatial derivatives to all order approach zero as $1/(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$) will in fact remain smooth and finite for all $t > 0$. From equation (84), we see that $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ will remain finite if and only if $K(\mathbf{x}, t)$ does so also. Therefore, let us show that K is in fact defined over all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $t > 0$. We first define $\mathbf{x}^*(t)$ as the position of the spatial maximum of K at time t , and $K^*(t)$ as the value of this maximum. If this same spatial maximum occurs at more than one point, then $\mathbf{x}^*(t)$ can be chosen as any one of them. Therefore, we write

$$K^*(t) = K(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t) \quad (123)$$

These values must, of course, exist initially since $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ and $K(\mathbf{x}, 0)$ are smooth by hypothesis. Since K is initially smooth, it will remain so unless a global maximum becomes infinite.³ Let us determine how $K^*(t)$ evolves in time for a spatially smooth $K(\mathbf{x}, t)$. Since, by hypothesis, a maximum of K occurs at \mathbf{x}^* and K is still smooth, we must have

$$\nabla K(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 K}{\partial x_i^2}(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t) \leq 0 \quad (i = 1, 2, 3) \quad (124), (125)$$

where inequality (125) arises from the second derivative test for spatial maxima. Differentiating equation (123) with respect to t and using equation (124), we obtain

$$\frac{dK^*}{dt} = \frac{\partial K}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}^*, t) + \nabla K(\mathbf{x}^*, t) \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{x}^*}{dt} = \frac{\partial K}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}^*, t) \quad (126)$$

³Recall that in the section titled *Spatial Dependence of Solution*, it was shown that an initially smooth solution would remain smooth for as long as it's defined.

Also, it should be noted that equation (126) is still valid even if \mathbf{x}^* changes discontinuously in time due to evolution of another maximum point of K at a different location. In this case, the value of $K^*(t_1)$ at the new global maximum location must be the same as $K^*(t_1)$ at the previous location, where we have defined t_1 as the time of the transition. Otherwise, there would be a discontinuity in K and therefore \mathbf{u} at a time when these functions are presumed to still be smooth.

We next insert \mathbf{x}^* into equation (85) and use equation (126) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dK^*}{dt} = \frac{\partial K}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t) &= \nu \nabla^2 K(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t) - \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \nabla u_i(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t) \cdot \nabla u_i(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t) \\ &- \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t) \cdot \nabla p(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t) \end{aligned} \quad (127)$$

From inequality (125) and the fact that $\nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_i \geq 0$, equation (127) implies that

$$\frac{dK^*}{dt} \leq -\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t) \cdot \nabla p(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t) \quad (128)$$

At this point, we define $K_1^*(t)$ as the maximum value of $K^*(t)$ allowed by inequality (128). Examining this inequality, we see that the largest possible values for the time derivative of K^* occur when $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t)$ and $\nabla p(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t)$ are anti-parallel vectors. In this case, the right-hand side of inequality (128) becomes

$$|\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t)| |\nabla p(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t)| = \sqrt{2} |\nabla p(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t)| \sqrt{K^*(t)}$$

where we have used equation (84). Therefore, the largest possible values at time t for the time derivative of $K^*(t)$ is determined from the ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{dK_1^*}{dt} = \sqrt{2} |\nabla p(\mathbf{x}^*(t), t)| \sqrt{K_1^*} \quad (129)$$

Upon integrating this equation with respect to t , we obtain $K_1^*(t)$ which we defined as the greatest possible value of $K^*(t)$. To solve this equation, we divide both sides by $\sqrt{K_1^*}$ and integrate with respect to t to obtain

$$K_1^*(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^t |\nabla p(\mathbf{x}^*(t'), t')| dt' + \sqrt{2K_0^*} \right)^2 \quad (130)$$

where K_0^* is the initial value of K^* .

Let us now consider the question of whether K , and therefore \mathbf{u} , can reach infinite values at some blowup point \mathbf{x}_b . If this happens, it must be a "smooth" blowup which starts with a global maximum of K forming at \mathbf{x}_b at some finite time t_b . It is important to note that t_b is not a blowup time (ie. a time when K becomes infinite at \mathbf{x}_b), but only a time when K could start having values at \mathbf{x}_b that are greater than the current maximum of K . Therefore, the value of $K(\mathbf{x}_b, t_b)$ is finite. If, however, \mathbf{x}_b remains a global maximum for a time interval Δt after time t_b , there is still a possibility of a smooth blowup occurring where $K(\mathbf{x}, t)$ remains smooth but the maximum $K(\mathbf{x}_b, t)$ becomes arbitrarily large for sufficiently large values of Δt . To determine if this happens, we note that from equation (130), we have

$$K_1^*(t_b + \Delta t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\int_0^{t_b} |\nabla p(\mathbf{x}^*(t'), t')| dt' + \int_{t_b}^{t_b + \Delta t} |\nabla p(\mathbf{x}_b, t')| dt' + \sqrt{2K_0^*} \right)^2 \quad (131)$$

The first integral on the right-hand side of this equation must be finite since, by hypothesis, a smooth solution (and therefore a smooth ∇p) exists for all \mathbf{x} prior to time t_b . The second integral must also be finite since

$$\int_{t_b}^{t_b + \Delta t} |\nabla p(\mathbf{x}_b, t')| dt' < \int_0^{t_b + \Delta t} |\nabla p(\mathbf{x}_b, t')| dt'$$

and according to inequality (122), the integral on the right-hand side of this inequality is finite for all $\Delta t > 0$, including the limit as $\Delta t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, it is not possible for K_1^* to ever reach infinite values which implies that a smooth blowup is not possible.

Hence, we conclude that the solutions for the fluid velocity $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and the scalar pressure function $p(\mathbf{x}, t)$ exist and are smooth for all $t > 0$. Furthermore, equation (98) implies that the total energy of fluid motion E decreases monotonically to zero. Then, since the solution \mathbf{u} has been shown to be smooth, it follows that $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$. **QED**

Uniqueness of Solution. Let us now show that the solution of the given problem is in fact unique. We start by defining $\mathbf{u}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{u}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ along with the corresponding scalar pressure functions $p^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $p^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ as two possible solutions of equation (4) with initial condition (5) and zero-divergence constraint (9). We therefore write

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{(1)}}{\partial t} = \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}^{(1)} - (\mathbf{u}^{(1)} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}^{(1)} - \nabla p^{(1)} \quad (132)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^{(2)}}{\partial t} = \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{u}^{(2)} - (\mathbf{u}^{(2)} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}^{(2)} - \nabla p^{(2)} \quad (133)$$

Subtracting equation (132) from (133), we have

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} = \nu \nabla^2 \mathbf{D} - (\mathbf{u}^{(2)} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{D} - (\mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}^{(1)} + \nabla p^{(1)} - \nabla p^{(2)} \quad (134)$$

where we have defined

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{u}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \mathbf{u}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (135)$$

as the difference between the two solutions. Taking the scalar product of both sides of equation (134) with \mathbf{D} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{D} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} &= \nu \mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla^2 \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{D} \cdot [(\mathbf{u}^{(2)} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{D}] - \mathbf{D} \cdot [(\mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}^{(1)}] + \mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla p^{(1)} - \mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla p^{(2)} \\ &= \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 D_i \nabla^2 D_i - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 D_i u_k^{(1)} \frac{\partial D_i}{\partial x_k} - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 D_i D_k \frac{\partial u_i^{(1)}}{\partial x_k} - \mathbf{D} \cdot (\nabla p^{(2)} - \nabla p^{(1)}) \\ &= \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \nabla \cdot (D_i \nabla D_i) - \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 (\nabla D_i) \cdot (\nabla D_i) - \mathbf{u}^{(1)} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{D} \right) \\ &\quad - \mathbf{D} \cdot [(\mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}^{(1)}] - \mathbf{D} \cdot (\nabla p^{(2)} - \nabla p^{(1)}) \\ &= \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \nabla \cdot (D_i \nabla D_i) - \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 (\nabla D_i) \cdot (\nabla D_i) + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{D} \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{D}) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^{(1)} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{D} \cdot [(\mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}^{(1)}] - \nabla \cdot [(p^{(2)} - p^{(1)}) \mathbf{D}] + (p^{(2)} - p^{(1)}) \nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

Since $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}^{(1)} = 0$ and $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D} = 0$, the fourth and seventh terms on the right-hand side of this equation vanish, and we write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial W_D}{\partial t} &= \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \nabla \cdot (D_i \nabla D_i) - \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 (\nabla D_i) \cdot (\nabla D_i) \\ &\quad - \nabla \cdot (W_D \mathbf{u}^{(1)}) - \mathbf{D} \cdot [(\mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}^{(1)}] - \nabla \cdot (p_D \mathbf{D}) \end{aligned} \quad (137)$$

where we have defined the normalized energy density W_D associated with \mathbf{D} , and pressure difference p_D as

$$W_D = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{D}) \quad \text{and} \quad p_D = p^{(2)} - p^{(1)} \quad (138),(139)$$

Integrating equation (137) over all \mathbb{R}^3 space, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dE_D}{dt} &= \nu \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \cdot (D_i \nabla D_i) d^3 \mathbf{x} - \nu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \sum_{i=1}^3 (\nabla D_i) \cdot (\nabla D_i) d^3 \mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \cdot (W_D \mathbf{u}^{(1)}) d^3 \mathbf{x} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{D} \cdot [(\mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}^{(1)}] d^3 \mathbf{x} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \cdot (p_D \mathbf{D}) d^3 \mathbf{x} \end{aligned} \quad (140)$$

where we have defined the normalized total energy density associated with \mathbf{D} as

$$E_D(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_D(\mathbf{x}, t) d^3 \mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t) d^3 \mathbf{x} \quad (141)$$

The first, third, and fifth terms on the right-hand side of equation (140) vanish via the divergence theorem and the fact that the integrands in each of these terms approach zero as $1/(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^{2\kappa}$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, equation (140) becomes

$$\frac{dE_D}{dt} = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \nu (\nabla D_i) \cdot (\nabla D_i) + \mathbf{D} \cdot [(\mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}^{(1)}] \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} = Y(t) \quad (142)$$

where we have defined

$$Y(t) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \nu (\nabla D_i) \cdot (\nabla D_i) + \mathbf{D} \cdot [(\mathbf{D} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}^{(1)}] \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} \quad (143)$$

Integrating both sides of equation (142) with respect to time, we have

$$E_D(t) = \int_0^t Y(t') dt' \quad (144)$$

where we have used the fact that $E_D(0) = 0$ since $\mathbf{u}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{u}^{(2)}$ have the same initial conditions where we have used the fact that $E_D(0) = 0$ since $\mathbf{u}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{u}^{(2)}$ have the same initial conditions (ie. $\mathbf{u}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = \mathbf{u}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = u^0(\mathbf{x})$ at $t = 0$ and all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$). To determine $E_D(t)$ for $t > 0$, let us construct a grid G of discrete time values t'_n on the interval $0 \leq t' \leq t$ such that

$$0 = t'_0 < t'_1 < t'_2 < \dots < t'_N = t \quad (145)$$

where N is the number of subintervals defined by G on the interval. We define a finite time difference estimate of the solution of equation (142), or equivalently (144), at the grid times t'_n according to

$$E_D^{(G)}(t'_0) = E_D^{(G)}(0) = E_D(0) = 0 \quad (146)$$

for $n = 0$, and

$$E_D^{(G)}(t'_{n+1}) = Y^{(G)}(t'_n)(t'_{n+1} - t'_n) + E_D^{(G)}(t'_n) \quad (147)$$

for $0 \leq n \leq N$. The values $Y^{(G)}(t'_n)$ in this equation are obtained from equation (143), where we set $t = t'_n$ and $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t'_n)$, where $\mathbf{D}^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t'_n)$ is the finite difference estimate of \mathbf{D} at time t'_n . Since $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, 0) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, equation (143) implies that $Y^{(G)}(0) = 0$. Inserting this result into equation (147) with $n = 0$, we have $E_D^{(G)}(t'_1) = 0$. From equation (141), we then have

$$E_D(t'_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} W_D^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t'_1) d^3 \mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{D}^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t'_1) \cdot \mathbf{D}^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t'_1) d^3 \mathbf{x} = 0 \quad (148)$$

where we have defined $W_D^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t'_n)$ as the W_D function corresponding to the finite difference approximation at t'_n . Since the integrand in this equation is continuous and greater than or equal to zero at all points $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $E_D^{(G)}(t'_1)$ can equal zero only if $\mathbf{D}^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t'_1) = 0$ at all points \mathbf{x} . Inserting this result into equation (143), we then have $Y^{(G)}(t'_1) = 0$. This implies (via equation (147)) that $E_D^{(G)}(t'_2) = 0$, which in turn implies that $\mathbf{D}^{(G)}(\mathbf{x}, t'_2) = 0$ at all points $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, and therefore $Y^{(G)}(t'_3) = 0$. If we continue in this manner, we may show that

$$Y^{(G)}(t'_1) = Y^{(G)}(t'_2) = \dots = Y^{(G)}(t'_N) = 0 \quad (149)$$

and

$$E_D^{(G)}(t'_1) = E_D^{(G)}(t'_2) = \dots = E_D^{(G)}(t'_N) = 0 \quad (150)$$

regardless of the grid time spacing or number of grid points. Therefore, in the limit as maximum difference between successive grid times (ie. max over n of $t_{n+1} - t_n$) approaches zero, these equations become

$$Y(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad E_D(t) = \int_0^t Y(t') dt' = 0 \quad (151), (152)$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Inserting equation (151) and (152) into (141), we have

$$E_D(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t) d^3 \mathbf{x} = 0 \quad (153)$$

Since the integrand $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ in this equation is greater than or equal to zero and is continuous in \mathbf{x} over all \mathbb{R}^3 we must have $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t) \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t) = 0$ for all \mathbf{x} and t . Inserting this result into equation (135), we then have $\mathbf{u}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \mathbf{u}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ for all \mathbf{x} and t , and therefore the solution is unique. Since this difference $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ between the solutions $\mathbf{u}^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ and $\mathbf{u}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is identically zero, it follows that the solution $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is unique.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have shown existence of a solution of the zero driving-force Navier-Stokes equation in free space with given initial fluid velocity and spatial derivatives profiles which approach zero as $1/(|\mathbf{x}| + a)^\kappa$ as $|\mathbf{x}| \rightarrow \infty$, assuming a scalar pressure and incompressibility of the fluid. Existence of a smooth, finite energy solution was proven by first establishing that such a solution would retain this spatial characteristic when propagated over any finite time interval. Next, it was proven that the solution $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ must be bounded by showing that the time integral of the scalar pressure gradient ∇p remains bounded and continuous despite possible irregularities in the solution components u_i and their spatial derivatives. Finally, we showed that the solution is unique.

REFERENCES

1. Charles L. Fefferman, *Existence & Smoothness of the Navier-Stokes Equation*, May 1, 2000, Princeton University Department of Mathematics, Princeton, N.J. 08544-1000.
2. C. Foias, O. Manley, R. Rosa, R. Teman *Navier-Stokes Equations and Turbulence*, Cambridge University Press, 2001. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993.
3. A. Tsionskiy, M. Tsionskiy *Existence, Uniqueness, and Smoothness of Solution for 3D Navier-Stokes Equations with Any Smooth Initial Velocity*, arXiv:1201.1609v8 [math.AP] 1 September 2013.
4. Mihir Kumar Jha, *The complete Solution for existence and smoothness of Navier-Stokes equation*, Global Academy of Technology, Karnataka, India 560098

5. Svetlin G. Georgiev and Gal Davidi, *Existence and Smoothness of Navier-Stokes Equations*, emails: svetlingeorgiev1@gmail.com, gal.david11@gmail.com
6. Asset A. Durmagambetov, Leyla S. Fazilova, *Navier-Stokes Equations Millennium Prize Problems*, System Research Factor Company, Astana, Kazakhstan.
7. David Shirokoff, *I. A Pressure Poisson Method for the Incompressible Navier-Stokes Equations II. Long Time Behavior of the Klein-Gordon Equations*, Archives, Massachusetts Institute of Technology Libraries, September 22, 2011.
8. P. M. Gresho and R. L. Sani, "On pressure boundary conditions for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations". *Int. J. Numer. Methods Fluids*, 7:1111-1145, 1987.
9. Ronald B. Guenther, John W. Lee, *Partial Differential Equations of Mathematical Physics and Integral Equations*, Dover Publications, Inc. 1988, pp. 306-313.
10. Clive R. Chester, *Techniques in Partial Differential Equations*, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1971, pp. 92-95.
11. J.D. Jackson, *Classical Electrodynamics*, Third Edition, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1999, pp. 34-40.
12. L.M. Milne-Thomson, *Theoretical Hydrodynamics*, Fifth Edition, Dover Publishing, Inc., 1968, p. 10.
13. G.F.D. Duff and D. Naylor, *Differential Equations of Applied Mathematics*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1966, pp. 66-72.
14. R. Creighton Buck and Ellen F. Buck, *Advanced Calculus*, Second Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, 1965, pp. 481-485.

ALAMOSA, CO

E-mail address: mathguy@navierstokessmoothsolutions.com